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#### Abstract

Based on various topological molecular descriptors, viz. Weiner index, various Randic indices, different molecular connectivity indices, Balaban and different balaban type parameters, several QSAR models were built to estimate the soil sorption coefficients $\left(\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oc}}\right)$ of substituted anilines and phenols. The regression analysis of the data employing the multiple linear analysis. Results showed that a tetra-parametric model was excellent for modeling of these compounds.
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## Introduction

The soil coefficient $K_{o c}$, which determines the partitioning of an organic chemical between the soil sediment and aqueous solution, is an important environmental parameter. $K_{o c}$ is the ratio between the concentration of a chemical adsorbed by the soil normalized to soil organic carbon and those dissolved in the soil water. Thus, $K_{\mathrm{oc}}$ is a frequently used parameter to indicate the physical movements of pollutants, chemical degradation. and biodegradation activity of a given species in environment ${ }^{1-5}$, and it is of great use for the environmental risk assessment of organic chemicals. For some chemical species, quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) modeling is a useful technique to correlate their physical, chemical, biological or environmental activities to their physicochemical property descriptors. Because the experimental determination is timeconsuming and expensive, estimated values based on QSAR models are now widely used.
Nowadays, many QSAR models have been developed to predict the soil sorption coefficients of organic chemicals ${ }^{6-9}$. In these works, satisfactory results were reported for non-polar chemicals, but for polar chemicals such as aniline-type chemicals, phenol-type chemicals, alcohols, organic acid and etc., results usually were poor.

[^0]This can be explained that, general QSAR models are developed for non-polar chemicals, but for polar chemicals, specific interactions between polar chemicals and appropriate soil/sediment constituents (hydrogen bonding, dipole interactions, charge transfer, and etc.) may exist ${ }^{10}$. This implies that the soil/sediment sorption behaviors of polar and non-polar organic chemicals are different. Thus, in order to improve the estimate quality for these polar chemicals classes, molecular descriptors which reflect other specific interactions should be also included in addition to n-octanol/water partition coefficients. As we know, descriptors derived from quantum chemical computation can clearly describe molecular structure and electronic properties, and these descriptors can be easily obtained. Therefore, the experiences in which quantum chemical descriptors are included in general QSAR models are popular for development of reliable QSAR models ${ }^{11-13}$.
The purpose of this study was to systematically investigate the QSAR models of soil sorption coefficients for substituted anilines and phenols based on various topological molecular descriptors viz. Weiner index, various Randic indices, different molecular connectivity indices, Balaban and different balaban type parameters. The other purpose of this work was to try to explain soil sorption mechanism so that it should be possible in the future to obtain more accurate estimates of soil sorption coefficients for polar organic chemicals.

## Material and Methods

## Calculation of Molecular Descriptors

Experimentally observed soil sorption coefficients log $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ for 42 substituted anilines and phenols were collected by literature ${ }^{14,15}$. E-DRAGON software was used to calculate the molecular descriptors at the basis of a fully optimization of the molecular geometry. In this study, in order to understand the nature of the soil sorption coefficients coefficients for polar organic compounds, we calculate the various molecular descriptors such as Weiner index(W), various Balaban and Balaban type descriptors(J, Jhetz, Jhetp, Jhetv, Jhetm, Jhete), Randic indices $\left({ }^{0} \chi,{ }^{1} \chi,{ }^{2} \chi,{ }^{3} \chi\right)$, different connectivity parameters $\left({ }^{0} \chi^{\mathrm{v}},{ }^{1} \chi^{\mathrm{v}},{ }^{2} \chi^{\mathrm{v}},{ }^{3} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}\right)$.

## Regression Analysis

NCSS 7.0 software was used to perform regression analysis. For the results of regression analysis, model adequacy was measured as the square of correlation coefficient ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ), the adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for degree of freedom ( $A R^{2}$ ), mean square error (M.S.E.), the F-value for analysis of variance ( F ) and the significance Q -test ( $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{MSE}$ ). Single linear regression analysis was performed based on various topological descriptors, respectively.
In order to improve the quality of QSAR model for polar organic chemicals, more than one descriptor should be used in obtained models, thus a multilinear regression analysis was performed. The correlation coefficients between variables in the model were calculated by also using NCSS 7.0 software.

## Presentation of Data

In order to compare the prediction ability of this study, the values of soil sorption coefficient ( $\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ ) for 42 substituted anilines and phenols collected from the literature ${ }^{15}$. The structure and toxicity which is represented in terms of $\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ were listed in Table 1. The topological molecular parameters Winer index(W),various Balaban type parameters (Jhetv and Jhetp), Randic indices ${ }^{2} \chi$ ), and different connectivity descriptors $\left({ }^{0} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}, \chi^{\mathrm{v}}, \chi^{2}\right)$ calculated using DRAGON software are listed in Table 2. Correlation matrix is given in Table 3. Various regression equations which are obtained by single and multiple linear regression are presented in Table 4. And after that, actual, predicted and residual values for best model are given in Table 5.

## Results and Discussion

## Single Linear Regression Analysis

Though single regression analysis, seven regression equations were obtained, but we can find that, for single regression analysis, three equations were satisfactory with $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ larger than 0.8 . These regression
equations were listed in Table 4. In these three equations (eqn.1, 2 and 3) highest value of $R^{2}$ is obtained with second order connectivity (eqn.3), this means ${ }^{2} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$ is the largest correlated descriptors with log $K_{o c}$ than any other descriptors. This correlation is showed in Table 3. And eqn. 1 and 2 are less significant because of low values of $\mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{AR}^{2}, \mathrm{~F}$-ratio and Q-test.

## Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

In order to improve the quality of QSAR model, multilinear regression analysis were performed. As we know, models with variables correlated with each other were of no significance. Successive regression analysis resulted into several binary combinations of ${ }^{2} \chi^{v}$ with the Weiner index, Balaban, and connectivity indices used. The best bi-parametric model contained ${ }^{0} \chi^{v}$ and ${ }^{2} \chi^{v}$ (eqn.6). Here, In all these three models, second order connectivity have positive coefficient, and therefore, with increasing the value of ${ }^{2} \chi^{v}$, toxicity also increases. The regression parameters and the quality of model expressed by eqn. 6 , which indicate that addition of ${ }^{0} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$, slightly improves the value of variance $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ increases from 0.87 to 0.88 . In best tri-parametric equation contains the following independent variables: Jhetv, Jhetp and ${ }^{2} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$. In this equation all regression coefficients (except the Jhetv) were positive sign which indicate that with increasing the value of coefficient of Jhetp and ${ }^{2} \chi^{v}$, toxicity also increases. In our best triparametric model the regression parameters and the quality of model expressed by eqn.7, which indicates that addition of the Balaban type indices significantly improves the correlation coefficient and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ increases from 0.88 to 0.92 . Also, the quality factor Q increases from 6.2048 to 9.2511 .
When Balaban type indices, connectivity parameter and Randic parameter have been tried, a four parametric model is obtained. This model contain one Randic, one connectivity and two Balaban type indices. The adjusted $R^{2}$ and value of quality factor are in favour of this combination. A very significant improvement is observed in the variance.
Based on equation 8, we would attempt to explain mechanisms of soil sorption for polar compounds of substituted anilines and phenols. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ stands for the hydrophobic properties of organic chemicals, compounds with large $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ values will tend to be adsorbed more easily by organic phase than by water phase.
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Table 1: Structure and toxicity of $\mathbf{4 2}$ aniline and phenol derivative

| Comp. No. | Chemical name | Log K $_{\text {oc }}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | PHENOL | 1.43 |
| 2. | 2,3-DICHLOROPHENOL | 2.65 |
| 3. | 2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL | 2.75 |
| 4. | 2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL | 3.02 |
| 5. | 2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL | 3.36 |
| 6. | $3,4,5-T R I C H L O R O P H E N O L$ | 3.56 |
| 7. | 2,3,4,6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL | 3.35 |
| 8. | PENTACHLOROPHENOL | 3.73 |
| 9. | 4-BROMOPHENOL | 2.41 |
| 10. | 4-NITROPHENOL | 2.37 |
| 11. | 2-CHLOROPHENOL | 2.60 |
| 12. | 3-CHLOROPHENOL | 2.54 |
| 13. | 3,4-DICHLOROPHENOL | 3.09 |
| 14. | 3,5-DIMETHYLPHENOL | 2.83 |
| 15. | 2,3,5-TRIMETHYLPHENOL | 3.61 |
| 16. | 4-METHYLPHENOL | 2.70 |

Int. J. of Pharm. \& Life Sci. (IJPLS), Vol. 3, Issue 5: May: 2012, 1660-1665

| 17. | 2-METHOXYPHENOL | 1.56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18. | 3-METHOXYPHENOL | 1.50 |
| 19. | 3-HYDROXYPHENOL | 0.98 |
| 20. | $4,5,6-T R I C H L O R O G U A I A C O L$ | 2.80 |
| 21. | TETRACHLOROGUAIACOL | 2.85 |
| 22. | CATECHOL | 2.03 |
| 23. | ANILINE | 1.41 |
| 24. | 3-METHYLANILINE | 1.65 |
| 25. | 4-METHYLANILINE | 1.90 |
| 26. | 4-CHLOROANILINE | 1.96 |
| 27. | 4-BROMOANILINE | 1.96 |
| 28. | 3-TRIFLUOROMETHYLANILINE | 2.36 |
| 29. | 3-CHLORO-4-METHOXYANILINE | 1.93 |
| 30. | 3-METHYL-4-BROMOANILINE | 2.26 |
| 31. | 2.4-DICHLOROANILINE | 2.72 |
| 32. | 2.6-DICHLOROANILINE | 3.25 |
| 33. | $3,5-D I C H L O R O A N I L I N E ~$ | 2.11 |
| 34. | $3,4-D I C H L O R O A N I L I N E ~$ | 2.29 |
| 35. | 2,3,4-TRICHLOROANILINE | 2.60 |
| 36. | $2,3,4,5-$ TETRACHLOROANILINE | 3.03 |
| 37. | $2,3,5,6-$ TETRACHLOROANILINE | 3.94 |
| 38. | PENTACHLOROANILINE | 4.62 |
| 39. | $3,5-D I N I T R O A N I L I N E ~$ | 2.55 |
| 40. | N-METHYLANILINE | 2.28 |
| 41. | N,N-DIMETHYLANILINE | 2.26 |
| 42. | DIPHENYLAMINE | 2.78 |

Table 2: Calculated parameter of 42 substituted aniline and phenol

| Comp.No. | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{J h e t v}$ | $\mathbf{J h e t p}$ | ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{0}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathbf{V}}$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathbf{v}}$ | $\boldsymbol{}^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 42 | 2.651 | 2.571 | 2.743 | 3.834 | 2.134 | 1.336 |
| 2. | 82 | 2.971 | 3.018 | 3.745 | 5.947 | 3.102 | 2.358 |
| 3. | 84 | 2.909 | 2.952 | 3.873 | 5.947 | 3.096 | 2.439 |
| 4. | 110 | 3.072 | 3.160 | 4.390 | 7.004 | 3.579 | 2.969 |
| 5. | 111 | 3.05 | 3.136 | 4.381 | 7.004 | 3.579 | 2.939 |
| 6. | 110 | 3.076 | 3.167 | 4.390 | 7.004 | 3.579 | 2.916 |
| 7. | 140 | 3.238 | 3.366 | 4.768 | 8.060 | 4.069 | 3.387 |
| 8. | 174 | 3.402 | 3.565 | 5.155 | 9.117 | 4.558 | 3.808 |
| 9. | 62 | 2.822 | 2.799 | 3.365 | 5.721 | 3.027 | 2.392 |
| 10. | 120 | 2.248 | 2.060 | 4.264 | 5.020 | 2.634 | 1.774 |
| 11. | 60 | 2.816 | 2.806 | 3.239 | 4.891 | 2.618 | 1.859 |
| 12. | 61 | 2.773 | 2.763 | 3.377 | 4.891 | 2.612 | 1.916 |
| 13. | 84 | 2.911 | 2.956 | 3.873 | 5.947 | 3.096 | 2.413 |
| 14. | 84 | 2.904 | 2.845 | 4.023 | 5.679 | 2.956 | 2.346 |
| 15. | 110 | 3.072 | 3.018 | 4.39 | 6.602 | 3.378 | 2.726 |
| 16. | 62 | 2.738 | 2.673 | 3.365 | 4.757 | 2.545 | 1.836 |
| 17. | 86 | 2.223 | 2.086 | 3.43 | 5.165 | 2.663 | 1.672 |
| 18. | 88 | 2.19 | 2.057 | 3.546 | 5.165 | 2.657 | 1.701 |
| 19. | 61 | 2.519 | 2.408 | 3.377 | 4.204 | 2.269 | 1.520 |
| 20. | 182 | 2.766 | 2.723 | 4.959 | 8.334 | 4.114 | 3.200 |
| 21. | 220 | 2.963 | 2.948 | 5.368 | 9.391 | 4.604 | 3.650 |
| 22. | 60 | 2.551 | 2.437 | 3.239 | 4.204 | 2.275 | 1.489 |
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| 23. | 42 | 2.836 | 2.775 | 2.743 | 3.964 | 2.199 | 1.411 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24. | 61 | 2.927 | 2.877 | 3.377 | 4.887 | 2.610 | 1.914 |
| 25. | 62 | 2.888 | 2.839 | 3.365 | 4.887 | 2.610 | 1.911 |
| 26. | 62 | 2.888 | 2.901 | 3.365 | 5.021 | 2.677 | 1.988 |
| 27, | 62 | 2.981 | 2.98 | 3.365 | 5.851 | 3.092 | 2.467 |
| 28. | 148 | 2.351 | 2.123 | 5.335 | 5.521 | 2.927 | 2.123 |
| 29. | 116 | 2.456 | 2.361 | 4.064 | 6.352 | 3.206 | 2.301 |
| 30. | 84 | 3.128 | 3.128 | 3.873 | 6.773 | 3.509 | 2.863 |
| 31. | 84 | 3.043 | 3.112 | 3.873 | 6.077 | 3.161 | 2.509 |
| 32. | 82 | 3.11 | 3.183 | 3.745 | 6.077 | 3.167 | 2.450 |
| 33. | 84 | 3.038 | 3.107 | 4.023 | 6.077 | 3.155 | 2.576 |
| 34. | 84 | 3.045 | 3.115 | 3.873 | 6.077 | 3.161 | 2.488 |
| 35. | 109 | 3.225 | 3.345 | 4.250 | 7.134 | 3.650 | 2.928 |
| 36. | 140 | 3.351 | 3.507 | 4.768 | 8.190 | 4.134 | 3.431 |
| 37. | 140 | 3.349 | 3.503 | 4.768 | 8.190 | 4.134 | 3.452 |
| 38. | 174 | 3.506 | 3.696 | 5.155 | 9.247 | 4.623 | 3.873 |
| 39. | 240 | 2.373 | 2.143 | 5.82 | 6.337 | 3.198 | 2.297 |
| 40. | 64 | 2.478 | 2.371 | 2.912 | 4.887 | 2.661 | 1.616 |
| 41. | 88 | 2.393 | 2.262 | 3.642 | 5.834 | 3.029 | 2.23 |
| 42. | 264 | 1.815 | 1.714 | 5.244 | 7.274 | 4.321 | 2.857 |

Table 3: Correlation matrix

|  | $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}$ | W | Jhetv | Jhetp | ${ }^{2} \chi$ | ${ }^{0} \chi^{\text {v }}$ | ${ }^{1} \chi^{\mathbf{v}}$ | ${ }^{2} \chi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}$ | 1 | 0.5502 | 0.6361 | 0.6828 | 0.6781 | 0.8945 | 0.8984 | 0.9350 |
| W |  | 1 | -0.0810 | -0.0578 | 0.9277 | 0.7431 | 0.7752 | 0.6528 |
| Jhetv |  |  | 1 | 0.9941 | 0.1464 | 0.5122 | 0.4584 | 0.6275 |
| Jhetp |  |  |  | 1 | 0.1569 | 0.5424 | 0.4967 | 0.6570 |
| ${ }^{2} \chi$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.8094 | 0.8119 | 0.7629 |
| ${ }^{0} \chi^{\mathbf{v}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.9886 | 0.9798 |
| ${ }^{1} \chi^{\text {v }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square 1$ | 0.9724 |
| ${ }^{2} \chi^{v}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 4: Regression equation ( $\mathrm{N}=42$ )

| Regression Equations Equations | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{A R}^{2}$ | MSE | F-RATIO | O Q-value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=-1.6463+0.7032(0.0556)^{0} \chi^{v}$ | 1 | 0.8001 | 0.7952 | 0.2592 | 160.148 | 3.4509 |
| $\operatorname{logK}_{\mathrm{oc}}=-2.1222+1.5017(0.1160)^{1} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 2 | 0.8073 | 0.8025 | 0.2499 | 167.550 | 3.5954 |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=-1.1276+1.5637(0.0938)^{2} \chi^{v}$ | 3 | 0.8742 | 0.8711 | 0.1631 | 278.067 | 5.7325 |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=-1.1710-0.0023(0.0016) \mathrm{W}+0.6781(0.1222)^{2} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 4 | 0.8805 | 0.8744 | 0.1589 | 143.745 | 5.9049 |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=-1.6296+0.2921(0.1766)$ Jhetp $+1.4313(0.1218)^{2} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 5 | 0.8825 | 0.8765 | 0.1563 | 146.436 | 6.0100 |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=-0.6691-0.4258(0.2127)^{0} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}+2.4512(0.4525)^{2} \chi^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 6 | 0.8860 | 0.8801 | 0.1517 | 151.492 | 6.2048 |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=2.0683-6.1021(1.3431) \mathrm{Jhetv}+5.2394(1.0984)$ Jhetp | +1.2807 | .1047) ${ }^{2} \chi$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.9239 | 0.9178 | 0.1039 | 153.672 | 9.2511 |
| $\log \mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=1.6004-7.7176(1.3781)$ Jhetv+6.9497(1.1959)Jhetp | tp+0.39 | 0.1472) ${ }^{2} \chi$ | +0.7115(0.23 | 2310) ${ }^{2} \chi^{v}$ |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0.9365 | 0.9296 | 0.0890 | 136.412 | 10.8671 |
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Table 5: Observed (Obs.), Predicted (Pre.) Residual Value Obtained using Equation 08

| Row | Actual | Predicted | Residual |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.460 | 1.055 | 0.405 |
| 2 | 2.840 | 2.819 | 0.021 |
| 3 | 3.060 | 2.948 | 0.112 |
| 4 | 3.690 | 3.719 | -0.029 |
| 5 | 3.720 | 3.697 | 0.023 |
| 6 | 4.140 | 3.699 | 0.441 |
| 7 | 4.450 | 4.318 | 0.132 |
| 8 | 5.120 | 4.890 | 0.230 |
| 9 | 2.590 | 2.320 | 0.270 |
| 10 | 1.910 | 1.533 | 0.377 |
| 11 | 2.150 | 1.985 | 0.165 |
| 12 | 2.500 | 2.114 | 0.386 |
| 13 | 3.330 | 2.942 | 0.388 |
| 14 | 2.350 | 2.237 | 0.113 |
| 15 | 2.920 | 2.560 | 0.360 |
| 16 | 1.940 | 1.697 | 0.243 |
| 17 | 1.320 | 1.501 | -0.181 |
| 18 | 1.580 | 1.621 | -0.041 |
| 19 | 0.800 | 1.325 | -0.525 |
| 20 | 3.190 | 3.436 | -0.246 |
| 21 | 3.630 | 3.962 | -0.332 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 22 | 0.950 | 1.203 | -0.253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 0.940 | 1.098 | -0.158 |
| 24 | 1.400 | 1.716 | -0.316 |
| 25 | 1.390 | 1.746 | -0.356 |
| 26 | 1.880 | 2.232 | -0.352 |
| 27 | 2.260 | 2.404 | -0.144 |
| 28 | 2.290 | 1.852 | 0.438 |
| 29 | 1.850 | 2.315 | -0.465 |
| 30 | 2.530 | 2.783 | -0.253 |
| 31 | 2.910 | 3.076 | -0.166 |
| 32 | 2.820 | 2.959 | -0.139 |
| 33 | 2.900 | 3.187 | -0.287 |
| 34 | 2.690 | 3.066 | -0.376 |
| 35 | 3.680 | 3.739 | -0.059 |
| 36 | 4.570 | 4.457 | 0.113 |
| 37 | 4.460 | 4.460 | 0.000 |
| 38 | 5.080 | 5.044 | 0.036 |
| 39 | 1.890 | 2.139 | -0.249 |
| 40 | 1.660 | 1.267 | 0.393 |
| 41 | 2.310 | 1.894 | 0.416 |
| 42 | 3.5 | 3.633 | -0.133 |



Fig 1: Graph plotted between observed and calculated activity
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